contour line to a point almost two miles north-northwest of Godard, Pennsylvania, exactly six statute miles inland from any point on the shore of Lake Erie.

(5) The boundary proceeds southwestward along a line exactly six statute miles inland from any point on the shore of Lake Erie to the point where it intersects Ohio Route 45 near the intersection with Interstate 90.

(6) The boundary proceeds southward along Ohio Route 45 to a point exactly 14 statute miles inland from any point on the shore of Lake Erie approximately one mile north of Rock Creek, Ohio.

(7) The boundary proceeds southwestward, then westward, then northwestward along a line 14 statute miles inland from any point on the shore of Lake Erie to the point where it intersects the Ohio-Michigan boundary just north of Centennial, Ohio.

(8) The boundary proceeds eastward, then northeastward along the Ohio-Michigan border to the United States-

Canada boundary.

(9) The boundary proceeds southeastward along the United States-Canada boundary to a point at 82 degrees 30 minutes west longitude.

(10) The boundary proceeds southward along 82 degrees 30 minutes west longitude to the shore of Lake Erie.

(11) The boundary proceeds northeastward along the shore of Lake Erie to the beginning point at the mouth of Buffalo Creek.

Approved: March 18, 1983. Stephen E. Higgins, Acting Director [FR Doc. 63-8635 Filed 4-1-83; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4810-31-M

27 CFR Part 9

[Notice No. 461]

The Anderson Valley Viticultural Area

AGENCY: Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms, Treasury.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms, (AFT) is considering the establishment of a viticultural area in Mendocino County, California, to be known as "Anderson Valley." This proposal is the result of a petition from the Anderson Valley Appellation Committee which is made up of various industry members in the area. The establishment of viticultural areas and the subsequent use of viticultural area names in wine labeling and advertising will allow wineries to better designate the specific grapegrowing area where their wines come

from and will enable consumers to better identify wines they purchase. **DATE:** Written comments must be received by May 4, 1983.

ADDRESS: Send written comments to: Chief, Regulations and Procedures Division, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms, P.O. Box 385, Washington, D.C. 20044–0385 (Attn: Notice No. 461)

Copies of the petition, the proposed regulations, the appropriate maps, and the written comments will be available for public inspection during normal business hours at: ATF Reading Room, Office of Public Affairs and Disclosure, Room 4405, Federal Building, 12th and Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Robert L. White, Research and Regulations Branch, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms, 12th and Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20226 (202–566–7626).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 23, 1978, ATF published Treasury Decision ATF-53 (43 FR 37672, 54624) revising regulations in 27 CFR Part 4. These regulations allow the establishment of definite viticultural areas. The regulations allow the name of an approved viticultural area to be used as an appellation of origin on wine labels and in wine advertisments.

On October 2, 1979, ATF published Treasury Decision ATF-60 (44 FR 56692) which added a new Part 9 to 27 CFR, for the listing of approved American viticultural areas.

Section 4.25a(e)(1), Title 27, CFR, defines an American viticultural area as a delimited grape-growing region distinguishable by geographical features.

Section 4.25a(e)(2) outlines the procedure for proposing an American viticultural area. Any interested person may petition ATF to establish a grape-growing region as a viticultural area. The petition should include—

(a) Evidence that the name of the proposed viticultural area is locally and/or nationally known as referring to the area specified in the petition;

(b) Historical or current evidence that the boundaries of the viticultural area are as specified in the petition;

(c) Evidence relating to the geographical features (climate, soil, elevation, physical features, etc.) which distinguish the viticultural features of the proposed area from surrounding areas:

(d) A description of the specific boundaries of the viticultural area, based on the features which can by found on United States Geological Survey (U.S.G.S.) maps of the largest applicable scale; and

(e) A copy of the appropriate U.S.G.S. map with the boundaries prominently marked.

Petition

AFT has received a petition from the Anderson Valley Appellation Committee proposing an area in Mendocino County, California, as a viticultural area to be know as "Anderson Valley." Anderson Valley is located in the western part of the county and lies generally along the watershed of the Navarro River, stretching from its headwaters in the coastal range and extending northwest toward, but not reaching, the Pacific Ocean. The proposed viticultural area includes approximately 57,600 acres within its boundaries.

Viticultural Area Name

The proposed viticultural area has been known as Anderson Valley since shortly after it was first settled in 1852 by Walter Anderson. The proposed area includes only the territory historically known as Anderson Valley and the surrounding slopes.

Geographical/Viticultural Features

The petitioner claims the proposed viticultural area is distinguished from surrounding areas by climatic variances and by the soil. The petitioner bases these claims on the following:

- (a) The climate of the proposed Anderson Valley viticultural area has been described as "Coastal" by the Mendocino County Farm Advisor's Office, in their booklet, The Climate of Mendocino County. In comparison, the climate in much of the other areas of Mendocino County is classified as "Transitional" due to the fact that either the coastal or the interior climates can dominate the Mendocino County climate for either short or long periods of time.
- (b) The climate of the proposed Anderson Valley viticultural area includes both Region I and Region II as classified by the University of California at Davis' system of heat summation by degree-days. A table of cumulative degree-days, published by the University of California Agricultural Extension Service Office in Lake, Mendocino, and Sonoma Counties, shows that the area around Philo is relatively cool and consequently is classified as Region I whereas the area around Boonville is warmer and consequently is classified as Region II. In comparison the Ukiah area, which lies approximately 15 miles to the

northeast of Anderson Valley, is warmer and consequently is classified as a Region II and Region III area depending on the particular location of the reporting station.

(c) In a publication entitled Connoisseur's Guide to California Wine. Alameda, California, 1978, Volume three, Issue six, page 109, the author states that "one of the most important of these (Mendocino County microclimates) will be Anderson Valley. This area is tucked into the mountains between Ukiah and the coast. The environment varies from a maritime climate, unsuitable for grape growing to a cool Region II climate on the University of California at Davis I-V heat accumulation scale. The portion of the valley shared by Edmeades and Husch, near Philo, is one of the coolest grape growing areas in California. The Boonville area, six miles up Anderson Valley, edges into Region II heat accumulation."

(d) The bottom land soils in Anderson Valley are all either derived from old valley filling material, or more recent alluvial deposits. Maps of the area show the same series soils throughout the valley, with the more recent soil types in the majority. Anderson Valley bottom land soils include at least 24 different types.

(e) The average rainfall of the proposed Anderson Valley viticultural area, as recorded by the Boonville Department of Highway Maintenance and published in The Climate of Mendocino County, a booklet compiled by the Mendocino Farm Advisor's Office, is 40.68 inches annually. Most of the rainfall comes in the period from November through March. In comparison, the average rainfall per year for the Ukiah area to the northeast and the Hopland (U.C.) area to the southeast is 35.95 inches and 37.00 inches respectively.

(f) According to Roberto A. de Grassi, Agricultural Commissioner for Mendocino County, Anderson Valley was surveyed and studied some years ago by grape-growing specialists from the University of California at Davis. These specialists found that Anderson Valley had an excellent environment and potential for growing premium quality varietal wine grapes by virtue of its coastal climatical condition in addition to the favorable grape soil types. Since this initial survey and finding, extensive vineyards have been, and are being, planted in this region. Mr. de Grassi further states that the budding local wineries in Anderson Valley are producing a distinctive characteristic wine typical of Region I and II, thereby

substantiating the validity of the evaluation made by early researchers.

Historical Background

Anderson Valley lies generally along the watershed area of the Navarro River, in the western part of Mendocino County. Cultivation of the soil began with the first settlement in 1852. Grapes were planted in the area shortly afterward. Along Greenwood Ridge, numerous small vineyards dotted the area. One of these historic entities remains today, the DuPratt Vineyard.

There is documentation that some of the oldest, continuously producing vineyards date from 1922. Edmeades Winery, established in 1974, was the first winery to begin operations in Anderson Valley since the end of Prohibition. Wines from Anderson Valley are often favorably mentioned in many respected wine publications.

The four major varieties of grapes being grown in this area are Chardonnay (151 acres), Gewurztraminer (103 acres), Riesling (111 acres), and Pinot Noir (47 acres). This acreage information was obtained from the publication, 1981 Mendocino County Grape Acreage, published by the Mendocino County Farm Advisors Office

Currently, there are approximately 600 acres of grapes located within the proposed viticultural area with major concentrations around the Bonville, Philo, and Navarro area. Although the number of acres of grapes under cultivation is small compared to the total size of the proposed area, the scattered location of the grapes makes it necessary to include the whole area. Also, according to Mr. Bruce E. Bearden, Farm Advisor for Mendocino County, the grape acreage within the proposed Anderson Valley viticultural area is expanding and will likely double within the next few years and the number of wineries will likely increase from six to eight or nine.

Proposed Boundaries

The boundaries of the proposed Anderson Valley viticultural area may be found on three U.S.G.S. 15 minute series, quadrangle maps ("Navarro Quadrangle, California—Mendocino Co.," "Boonville Quadrangle, California—Mendocino Co." and "Ornbaun Valley Quadrangle, California"). The specific description of the boundaries of the proposed viticultural area is found in the proposed regulations immediately following the preamble to this notice of proposed rulemaking.

The proposed Anderson Valley viticultural area is completely

encompassed by the boundaries described in a petition for a viticultural area in Mendocino County with the proposed name of Mendocino.

ATF recognizes that in some cases it will be necessary to establish viticultural areas which totally or partially overlap with other proposed or approved viticultural areas. ATF, however, believes the significance of viticultural areas as delimited grapegrowing regions distinguishable by geographical features may be eroded by the indiscriminate establishment of overlapping viticultural areas. Therefore, ATF will judge each petition which proposes a viticultural area that overlaps with other proposed or approved viticultural areas on a caseby-case basis. ATF will be guided in this judgment by evidence presented in the petition and by comments received from the public during the comment period.

For this reason, each petition which proposes a viticultural area that overlaps with other proposed or approved viticultural areas must fulfill the requirements of regulations relating to the establishment of viticultural areas and contain evidence to substantiate that the area of overlap should be included in the proposed viticultural area. In the case where one proposed area is totally encompassed by one or more larger proposed or approval viticultural areas, evidence must be submitted to show that the smaller viticultural area is viticulturally distinguishable from the surrounding areas.

Executive Order 12291

It has been determined that the proposal is not a "major rule" within the meaning of Executive Order 12291, 46 FR 13193 (Febuary 17, 1981), because it will not have an annual effect on the economy of \$100 million or more; it will not result in a major increase in costs or prices for consumers, individual industries Federal, State or local government agencies, or geographic regions; and it will not have significant adverse effects on competition. employment, investment, productivity. innovation, or on the ability of United States-based enterprises to compete with foreign-based enterprises in domestic or ecport markets.

Regualtory Flexibility Act

The provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act relating to an initial and final regulatory flexibility analysis (5 U.S.C. 603, 604) are not expected to apply to this proposed rule because the proposal, if promulgated as a final rule, is not expected to have a significant

economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Since the benefits to be derived from using a new viticultural area appellation of origin are intangible, ATF cannot conclusively determine what the economic impact will be on the affected small entities in the area. However, from the information we currently have available on the proposed Anderson Valley viticultural area, ATF does not feel that the use of this appellation of origin will have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

Public Participation—Written Comments

The proposed Anderson Valley viticultural area is located totally within the proposed boundaries described in a petition for a viticultural area in Mendocino County to be called Mendocino, ATF is particularly interested in receiving comments from all interested persons regarding this overlap issue as well as any other pertinent comments concerning the proposed Anderson Valley viticultural area. Furthermore, while this document proposes possible boundaries for the Anderson Valley viticultural area. comments concerning other possible boundaries will be given consideration.

Comments received before the closing date will be carefully considered.
Comments received after the closing date and too late for consideration will be treated as possible suggestions for

future ATF action.

ATF will not recognize any material or comments as confidential. Comments may be disclosed to the public. Any material which the commenter considers to be confidential or inappropriated for disclosure to the public should not be included in the comments. The name of the person submitting a comment is not exempt from disclosure.

Any interested person who desires an opportunity to comment orally at a public hearing on these proposed regulations should submit his or her request, in writing, to the Director within the 30-day comment period. The request should include reasons why the commenter feels that a public hearing is necessary. The Director, however, reserves the right to determine, in light of all circumstances, whether a public hearing will be held.

Drafting Information

The principal author of this document is Robert L. White, Research and Regulations Branch, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms. However, other personnel of the Bureau and of the Treasury Department have participated in the preparation of this document, both in matters of substance and style.

List of Subjects in 27 CFR Part 9

Administrative practice and procedure, Consumer protection, Viticultural areas, and Wine.

Authority

Accordingly, under authority in 27 U.S.C. 205 (49 Stat. 981, as amended), the Director proposes the amendment of 27 CFR Part 9 as follows:

PART 9—AMERICAN VITICULTURAL AREAS

Par. 1. The table of sections in 27 CFR Part 9, Subpart C, is amended to add the title of 9.86. As amended, the table of sections reads as follows:

Subpart C—Approved American Viticultural Areas

Se

9.86 Anderson Valley.

Par. 2. Subpart C is amended by adding § 9.86. As amended, Subpart C reads as follows:

Subpart C—Approved American Viticultural Areas

§ 9.86 Anderson Valley.

- (a) Name. The name of the viticultural area described in this section is "Anderson Valley."
- (b) Approved maps. The appropriate maps for determining the boundaries of the Anderson Valley viticultural area are three U.S.G.S. maps. They are titled:
- (1) "Navarro Quadrangle, California— Mendocino Co.," 15 minute series (1961);
- (2) "Boonville Quadrangle, California—Mendocino Co.," 15 minute series (1959); and
- (3) "Ornbaun Valley Quadrangle, California," 15 minute series (1960).
- (c) Boundaries. The Anderson Valley viticultural area is located in the western part of Mendocino County, California. The beginning point is at the junction of Bailey Gulch and the South Branch North Fork Navarro River in Section 8, Township 15 North (T.15N.), Range 15 West (R.15W), located in the northeast protion of U.S.G.S. map "Navarro Quadrangle."
- (1) From the beginning point, the boundary runs southeasterly in a straight line to an unnamed hilltop (elevation 2015 feet) in the northeast corner of Section 9, T.13W., R.13W., located in the southeast portion of U.S.G.S. map "Bonnville Quadrangle";
- (2) Thence southwesterly in a straight line to Benchmark (BM) 680 in Section 30, T.13N., R.13W., located in the northeast portion of U.S.G.S. map "Ornbaun Valley Quadrange";

- (3) Thence northwesterly in a straight line to the intersection of an unnamed creek and the south section line of Section 14, T.14N., R.15W., located in the southwest portion of U.S.G.S. map "Bonnville Quadrangle";
- (4) Thence in a westerly direction along the south section lines of Sections 14, 15, and 16, T.14N., R.15W., to the intersection of the south section line of Section 16 with Greenwood Creek, approximately .2 miles west of Cold Springs Road which is located in the southeast portion of U.S.G.S. map "Navarro Quadrangle";
- (5) Thence in a southwesterly and then a northwesterly direction along Greenwood Creek to a point in Section 33 directly south (approximately 1.4 miles) of Benchmark (BM) 1057 in Section 28, T.15N., R.16W.;
- (6) Thence directly north in a straight line to Benchmark (BM) 1057 in Section 28, T.15N., R.16W.;
- (7) Thence in a northeasterly direction in a straight line to the beginning point.

Approved: March 23, 1983.

Stephen E. Higgins,

Acting Director.

[FR Doc. 83-8634 Filed 4-1-83; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4810-31-M

27 CFR Part 9

[Notice No. 462]

Grand River Valley Viticultural Area

AGENCY: Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms, Treasury.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms (ATF) is considering the establishment of a viticultural area in Ohio to be known as "Grand River Valley." This proposal is the result of a petition submitted by Mr. Anthony P. Debevc, President of Chalet Debonne Vineyards, Inc., a winery located in Madison Ohio. The establishment of viticultural areas and the subsequent use of viticultural area names as appellations of origin in wine labeling and advertising will help consumers better identify wines they purchase. The use of this viticultural area as an appellation of origin will also help winemakers distinguish their products from wines made in other areas.

DATE: Written comments must be received by May 19, 1983.

ADDRÉSS: Send written comments to: Chief, Regulations and Procedures Division, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco