

(a) An annual effect on the economy of \$100 million or more;

(b) A major increase in cost or prices for consumers, individual industries, Federal, State, or local government agencies, or geographic regions; or

(c) Significant adverse effects on competition, employment, investment, productivity, innovation, or on the ability of United States enterprises to compete with foreign-based enterprises in domestic or export markets.

Drafting Information

The principal author of this document is Thomas L. Minton, Research and Regulations Branch, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms.

Authority

This viticultural area is proposed under the authority in 27 U.S.C. 205.

Signed: November 24, 1981.

G. R. Dickerson,

Director.

Approved: December 23, 1981.

John M. Walker, Jr.,

Assistant Secretary (Enforcement and Operations).

[FR Doc. 82-673 Filed 1-9-82; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4810-31-M

27 CFR Part 9

[Notice No. 401]

Establishment of Suisun Valley Viticultural Area, Calif.

AGENCY: Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms, Treasury.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms (ATF) is considering the establishment of a viticultural area in Solano County, California, to be known as "Suisun Valley." This proposal is the result of a petition from Mr. Ben A. Volkhardt, president of the West Solano County Grape Growers Association. The establishment of viticultural areas and the subsequent use of viticultural area names in wine labeling and advertising will allow wineries to better designate the specific grape-growing area where their wines come from and will enable consumers to better identify wines they purchase.

DATE: Written comments must be received by March 12, 1982.

ADDRESS: Send written comments to: Chief, Regulations and Procedures Division, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms, P.O. Box 385, Washington, DC 20044-0385, (Attn: Notice No. 401).

Copies of the petition, the proposed regulations, the appropriate maps, and the written comments will be available for public inspection during normal business hours at: ATF Reading Room, Office of Public Affairs and Disclosure, Room 4405, Federal Building, 12th and Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robert L. White, Research and Regulations Branch, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20226 (202-566-7626).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 23, 1978, ATF published Treasury Decision ATF-53 (43 FR 37672, 54624) revising regulations in 27 CFR Part 4. These regulations allow the establishment of definite viticultural areas. The regulations also allow the name of an approved viticultural area to be used as an appellation of origin on wine labels and in wine advertisements.

On October 2, 1979, ATF published Treasury Decision ATF-60 (44 FR 56692) which added a new Part 9 to 27 CFR, for the listing of approved American viticultural areas.

Section 4.25a(e)(1), Title 27, CFR, defines an American viticultural area as a delimited grape-growing region distinguishable by geographical features. Section 4.25a(e)(2) outlines the procedures for proposing an American viticultural area. Any interested person may petition ATF to establish a grape-growing region as a viticultural area. The petition should include—

(a) Evidence that the name of the proposed viticultural area is locally and/or nationally known as referring to the area specified in the petition;

(b) Historical or current evidence that the boundaries of the viticultural area are as specified in the petition;

(c) Evidence relating to the geographical features (climate, soil, elevation, physical features, etc.) which distinguish the viticultural features of the proposed area from surrounding areas;

(d) A description of the specific boundaries of the viticultural area, based on the features which can be found on United States Geological Survey (U.S.G.S.) maps of the largest applicable scale; and

(e) A copy of the appropriate U.S.G.S. map with the boundaries prominently marked.

Petition

ATF has received a petition from Mr. Ben A. Volkhardt, president of the West

Solano County Grape Growers Association, proposing an area in Solano County, California, as a viticultural area to be known as "Suisun Valley." The proposed area is located in the southwestern portion of the county adjacent to the Napa County line and east of Green Valley. Suisun Valley lies within the southern end of two ranges of the Coast Range, the Vaca Mountains on the east and the Mount George Range on the west. The valley terminates in the south at the marshlands of Suisun Bay.

Geographical/Viticultural Features

The petitioner claims that the proposed viticultural area is distinguished from surrounding areas by climatic variances and by the soil. The petitioner bases these claims on the following:

(a) The Suisun Valley grape area lies within the Coastal area climate and is characterized by cool, moist winds blowing inland from the ocean and bay almost continuously from May through early Fall.

(b) The climate in Suisun Valley is mid-region III as classified by the University of California at Davis system of heat summation by degree-days. Over a 14-year period, the University of California weather station in mid-Suisun Valley averaged an accumulation of 3,368 degree-days.

(c) The season totals for degree-days above 50 degrees Fahrenheit for upper Suisun Valley were 3,768.4 in 1973 and 3,700.5 in 1974. In mid-Suisun Valley the season totals were 3,460.4 in 1973 and 3,256.3 in 1974. In comparison, the season totals for Green Valley, which lies directly west of Suisun Valley, were 3,683.9 in 1973 and 3,498.2 in 1974.

(d) Fog hardly ever penetrates into the Suisun Valley due to its distance from the Pacific Ocean. In contrast, fog is very prevalent in Green Valley due to its proximity to the ocean.

(e) The soils in Suisun Valley consist of Brentwood clay loam, Sycamore silty clay loam, San Ysidro sandy loam and Rincon clay loam.

(f) The watershed in Suisun Valley drains southward into the Suisun Bay. In the Vacaville-Dixon area, which lies to the east of Suisun Valley, the watershed drains eastward in to the Sacramento River.

Historical Background

According to information provided by the petitioner, Suisun Valley is approximately three miles wide and eight miles long. Grapes have been grown commercially in Solano County since the late 1800's. As early as 1909, over 2,000 acres were recorded by the

Bureau of the Census. Since that time, a small but stable wine grape acreage has been continuously maintained. Currently, about 800 acres of grapes are under cultivation within the proposed area. Also, two wineries are operating within the area.

The principal varieties of grapes grown in Solano County in decreasing order of acreage are: Gamay, Petite Sirah, Cabernet Sauvignon, Carignane, Zinfandel, French Columbard, Chenin Blanc, Early Burgundy, Gamay Beaujolais, and Gray Riesling. The petitioner claims that grapes from western Solano County have been well received by Napa-Solano County vintners, and growers have experienced no difficulty in meeting the minimum acid and sugar requirements for north-coast grapes.

Proposed Boundaries

The boundaries of the proposed Suisun Valley viticultural area may be found on four U.S.G.S. 7.5 minute quadrangle maps ("Mt. George Quadrangle, California", "Fairfield North Quadrangle, California", "Fairfield South Quadrangle, California", and "Cordelia Quadrangle, California"). The specific description of the boundaries of the proposed viticultural area is found in the proposed regulations.

Executive Order 12291

It has been determined that this proposal is not a "major rule" within the meaning of Executive Order 12291, 46 FR 13193 (February 17, 1981), because it will not have an annual effect on the economy of \$100 million or more; it will not result in a major increase in costs or prices for consumers, individual industries, Federal, State, or local government agencies, or geographic regions; and it will not have significant adverse effects on competition, employment, investment, productivity, innovation, or on the ability of the United States-based enterprises to compete with foreign-based enterprises in domestic or export markets.

Regulatory Flexibility Act

The provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act relating to an initial and final regulatory flexibility analysis (5 U.S.C. 603, 604) are not expected to apply to this proposed rule because the proposal, if promulgated as a final rule, is not expected to have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. ATF has come to this tentative conclusion based on the fact that we do not have a way to assign a monetary value on the use of the name "Suisun Valley" as a viticultural area

appellation of origin on wine labels and in wine advertisements. Since the benefits to be derived from using a new viticultural area appellation of origin are intangible, ATF cannot conclusively determine what the economic impact will be on the affected small entities in the area. However, from the information we currently have available on the proposed Suisun Valley viticultural area, ATF does not feel that the use of this appellation of origin will have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

Public Participation—Written Comments

ATF requests comments concerning this proposed viticultural area from all interested persons. Furthermore, while this document proposes possible boundaries for the Suisun Valley viticultural area, comments concerning other possible boundaries for this viticultural area will be given consideration.

A notice of proposed rulemaking requesting public comment on a proposed Green Valley viticultural area, adjacent to the proposed Suisun Valley viticultural area, is being published in today's Federal Register. ATF requests public comment regarding the geographic and climatic features, if any, which distinguish the two areas in order for ATF to decide whether there should be two separate areas or a single viticultural area established in these valleys.

Comments received before the closing date will be carefully considered. Comments received after the closing date and too late for consideration will be treated as possible suggestions for future ATF action.

ATF will not recognize any material or comments as confidential. Comments may be disclosed to the public. Any material which the commenter considers to be confidential or inappropriate for disclosure to the public should not be included in the comment. The name of the person submitting a comment is not exempt from disclosure.

Any person who desires an opportunity to comment orally at a public hearing on these proposed regulations should submit his or her request, in writing, to the Director within the 60-day comment period. The request should include reasons why the commenter feels that a public hearing is necessary. The Director, however, reserves the right to determine, in light of all circumstances, whether a public hearing will be held.

Drafting Information

The principal author of this document is Robert L. White, Research and

Regulations Branch, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms. However, other personnel of the Bureau and of the Treasury Department have participated in the preparation of this document, both in matters of substance and style.

Authority

Accordingly, under the authority in 27 U.S.C. 205, the Director proposes the amendment of 27 CFR Part 9 as follows:

PART 9—AMERICAN VITICULTURAL AREAS

Paragraph 1. The table of sections in 27 CFR Part 9, Subpart C, is amended to add the title of § 9.45 to read as follows:

Subpart C—Approved American Viticultural Areas

Sec.

* * * * *

9.45 Suisun Valley.

Paragraph 2. Subpart C is amended by adding § 9.45 to read as follows:

Subpart C—Approved American Viticultural Areas

§ 9.45 Suisun Valley.

(a) *Name.* The name of the viticultural area described in this section is "Suisun Valley."

(b) *Approved maps.* The appropriate maps for determining the boundaries for Suisun Valley viticultural area are four U.S.G.S. maps. They are titled.

- (1) "Mt. George Quadrangle, California", 7.5 minute series;
- (2) "Fairfield North Quadrangle, California", 7.5 minute series;
- (3) "Fairfield South Quadrangle, California", 7.5 minute series; and
- (4) "Cordelia Quadrangle, California", 7.5 minute series.

(c) *Boundaries.* The Suisun Valley viticultural area is located in Solano County, California. The beginning point is the intersection of the Southern Pacific Railroad track with range line "R3W/R2W" in the town of Cordelia, located on U.S.G.S. map "Cordelia Quadrangle."

(1) From the beginning point, the boundary runs northeast in a straight line to the intersection of Ledgewood Creek with township line "T5N/T4N";

(2) Thence in a straight line in a northeast direction to Bench Mark (BM) 19 located in the town of Fairfield;

(3) Thence in a straight line due north to Soda Springs Creek;

(4) Thence in a straight line in a northwest direction to the extreme southeast corner of Napa County located just south of Section 34, Township 6 North, Range 2 West;

(5) Thence due west along the Napa/Solano County border to where it intersects with range line "R3W/R2W";

(6) Thence due south along range line "R3W/R2W" to the point of beginning.

Signed: October 6, 1981.

G. R. Dickerson,

Director.

Approved: December 8, 1981.

John M. Walker,

Assistant Secretary (Enforcement and Operations).

[FR Doc. 82-670 Filed 1-8-82; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4810-31-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 123

[SW-5-FRL-2025-4]

Illinois Application for Interim Authorization; Phase I, Hazardous Waste Management Program; Extension of Comment Period

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Extension of public comment period.

SUMMARY: On November 4, 1981, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) announced the availability for public review of the Illinois application for Phase I Interim Authorization, invited public comments on the application, and gave notice of a December 8, 1981, public hearing (46 FR 54770). In response to several requests for an extension of time for the filing of comments, the comment period is extended to March 15, 1982.

DATE: Comments on the Illinois Phase I Interim Authorization application must be received by March 15, 1982.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the Illinois Phase I Interim Authorization application are available at the following addresses for inspection and copying by the public during normal business hours:

- (1) Illinois Environmental Protection Agency, Library, 2200 Churchill Road, Springfield, Illinois 62706, Telephone (217) 782-6760;
- (2) U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region V, Air and Hazardous Materials Division, Waste Management Branch, 111 West Jackson, Chicago, Illinois 60604; and
- (3) U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Library, Room 2404, 401 M Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20460.

Written comments should be submitted to: Judy Kertcher, Chief, Regulatory Analysis and Information

Section, Waste Management Branch (5AHWM), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region V, 111 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lillian Bagus, Regulatory Analysis and Information Section, Waste Management Branch (5AHWM), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 111 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 886-6142.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On October 20, 1981, the State of Illinois submitted its complete application for Phase I Interim Authorization of its hazardous waste management program. EPA announced availability for public review of the Illinois application on November 4, 1981 (46 FR 54770). The November 4, 1981, *Federal Register* also gave notice of a December 8, 1981, public hearing and requested the public to submit comments by December 18, 1981. At the hearing on December 8, 1981, several commenters requested an extension of time for the filing of comments. On December 14, 1981, the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency waived its right for a final determination on its Phase I Interim Authorization application until May 5, 1982. EPA accepted this waiver and suspended its final determination until May 5, 1982.

In response to the requests for an extension of time for the filing of comments, and in view of the suspended date for a final determination, EPA is today extending the comment period on Illinois' application. Comments on the Phase I Interim Authorization application submitted by Illinois on October 20, 1981, must be received by March 15, 1982.

Dated: December 30, 1981.

Valdas V. Adamkus,
Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 82-669 Filed 1-8-82; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-38-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

43 CFR Part 4100

Grazing Administration—Exclusive of Alaska; Extension of Comment Period

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Proposed rule; Extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: This notice extends for a period of 30 days the time for filing of public comments on the proposed

rulemaking on 43 CFR Part 4100; Grazing Administration—Exclusive of Alaska, which amends the existing regulations on administration of livestock grazing on public rangelands. It has been determined that the comment period should be extended for an additional 30 days to provide the public with additional time to study the proposed rulemaking and submit their comments.

DATE: Comments should be received by February 11, 1982.

ADDRESS: Comments should be sent to: Director (140), Bureau of Land Management, 1800 C Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20240. Comments will be available for public review in Room 5555 of the above address during regular business hours (7:45 a.m. to 4:15 p.m), Monday through Friday.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paul Leonard, (202) 343-5841.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A proposed rulemaking to amend 43 CFR Part 4100, Grazing Administration—Exclusive of Alaska, was published in the *Federal Register* on November 13, 1981 (46 FR 56132) with a 60-day comment period ending on January 12, 1982. It has been determined that the comment period should be extended for an additional 30 days to provide the public with additional time to study the proposed rulemaking and submit their comments. This notice extends the comment period to February 11, 1982.

Garrey E. Carruthers,
Assistant Secretary of the Interior.
January 4, 1982.

[FR Doc. 82-564 Filed 1-8-82; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-84-M

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

49 CFR Part 1031

[Ex Parte No. 52]

Filing of Statements of Divisions of Joint Rates Applicable to Railway Fuel

AGENCY: Interstate Commerce Commission.

ACTION: Notice of proposal to remove rule.

SUMMARY: The Commission is proposing to remove the present regulation at 49 CFR 1031.1 (the only section in Part 1031) which deals with filing of statements of divisions of joint rates applicable to railway fuel. Commission records show that such information has not been requested for some time. The proposal is based on findings that the rule is outdated, and on the efforts of the